Some Miscellany

OBSERVATIONS

Witchcrafts, in a Dialogue

Between S. & B.
By P. E. and J. A.Philadelphia



MANO IZQUIERDA EDITORES

Some Miscellany OBSERVATIONS
On our present Debates respecting .
Witchcrafts, in a Dialogue
Between S. & B

Author: By P. E. and J. A. Philadelphia
Publisher: Printed by William Bradford, for Hezekiah Usher. 1692.
This copy used with permission from the Massachusetts Historical Society
© 2000, Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia

Recuperado de: https://salem.lib.virginia.edu/texts/willard/index.html

About the PDF version:
Colección Salem Witch Trials - Vol. 03

Edición bajo el cuidado de Mano Izquierda Editores.
Circa, Lima – Perú, 2025.

Some Miscellany

O B S E R V A T I O N S

On our present Debates respecting .

Witchcrafts, in a Dialogue

Between S. & B.
By P. E. and J. A.Philadelphia,

Transcription from
Massachusetts Historical Society

2000

MANO IZQUIERDA EDITORES

Some Miſcellany

OBSERVATIONS

On our preſent Debates reſpecting

Witchcrafts, in a *Dialogue*

Between *S.* & *B.*

By *P. E.* and *J. A.*

Philadelphia, Printed by *William Bradford*, for *Hezekiah Uſher*, 1692.

Supposed to be written by Mr Revd Samuel Willard. See Calef's pamphlet more wonders of the invisible world p. 238. Edit. 1700

S. 'I Underſtand that you and many others are greatly diſſatisfied at 'the Proceedings among us, in the purſuance of thoſe that have 'been Accuſed for Witchcraft, and have accordingly ſought to 'obſtruct them; which I am afraid will prove pernicious to the Land; 'and that for more reaſons than one; principally in the hazzard of Brea-'ches and Diviſions among us, which tend to ſome unhappy Change; and 'ſome-body will be to blame.

B. Sir, the Peace of a Place is earneſtly to be ſought, and they that ſin-fully cauſe Diviſions, will be guilty of all the miſerable effects of them: but whether this blame will truly fall upon you or us, is to be conſidered: there is an earneſt contending for the Truth requiſite, and that is not to be parted with for Peace.

S. 'No doubt every one will juſtifie himſelf in his own way; but men 'are not for that Innocent: yea, the moſt blame-worthy are for the moſt 'part carrried out with the greateſt Confidence.

B. True, I could reflect here: but I ſpare. Only give me leave to

 tell

Some Miscellany

O B S E R V A T I O N S

On our present Debates respecting .

Witchcrafts, in a Dialogue

Between S. & B.By P. E. and J. A.Philadelphia,

Printed by William Bradford, for Hezekiah Usher. 1692.

Sir,

S. I understand that you and many others are greatly dissatisfied at the Proceedings among us, in the pursuance of those that have been Accused for Witchcraft, and have accordingly sought to obstruct them; which I am afraid will prove pernicious to the Land; and that for more reasons than one; principally in the hazzard of Breaches and Divisions among us, which tend to force unhappy Change ; and somebody will be to blame.

B. Sir, the Peace of a Place is earnestly to be sought, and they that sinfully cause Divisions, will be guilty of all the miserable effects of them but whether this blame will truly fall upon you or us, is to he considered there is an earnest contending for the Truth requisite, and that is not to be parted with for Peace.

S. No doubt every one will justifie himself in his own way ; but men are not for that Innocent : yea, the most blameworthy are for the molt ` part carried out with the greatest Confidence.

A. True, I could reflect here ; but I spare. Only give me leave to tell you. that we have more reasons to plead our integrity by, than possibly you know of or will easily believe.

S. I should gladly, hear them.

tell you, that we have more reaſons to plead our integrity by, than poſſibly you know of, or will eaſily believe.

S. ' *I would gladly hear them.*

B. I confeſs, the Animoſities on both ſides have been ſinful, and much obſtructive to the coming at the Truth: but if you will promiſe placidly to argue the Caſe with me, you ſhall hear what we have to ſay; and I will as readily entertain any thing from you: and if we can come to a better underſtanding between us, it will be well.

S. ' The propoſal is fair, and I ſhall endeavour to comply with it; ' only give me leave firſt to Catechiſe you in a few things.

B. I ſhall freely give you my ſenſe of any thing you will ask,

S. ' Do you believe that there are any Witches?

B. Yes no doubt; the Scripture is clear for it: and it is an injurious reflection that ſome of yours have caſt upon us, as if we called that Truth in queſtion. Whether any thing we atteſt doth undermine it, is to be conſidered.

S. ' And ought not theſe Witches to be Puniſhed?

B. Without queſtion; the Precept of Gods Word is for it: only they muſt firſt be ſo proved.

S. ' But may not Witches be ſo Detected, as to be liable to a righte-' ous Sentence and Execution?

B. I believe it, though I think it not ſo eaſy as ſome make it. yet God often righteouſly leaves them to diſcover themſelves.

S. ' Ought not the Civil Magiſtrate to uſe utmoſt diligence in the Sear-' ching out Witchcraft, when he is directed by Gods Providence to ' grounds of a juſt ſuſpicion of it?

B. Doubtleſs: yet ought he to manage the matter with great Prudence and Caution, and attend right Rules in the Search. But now give me leave to take the like liberty of propounding two or three queſtions to you?

S. ' With Freedom.

B. Taking it for granted that there are Witches in NEW-ENGLAND, which no rational man will dare to deny; I ask whether Innocent Perſons may not be falſely accuſed of Witchcraft?

S. ' I verily believe it, and hope none of you ſuppoſe us ſo uncharita-' ble as to think the contrary.

B. Do you not think it an hard lot for an Innocent Perſon to have the aſperſion of VVitchcraft caſt upon him?

S. ' VVithout ſcruple; there being no Crime more ſcandalous and abominable; nor any that is with more difficulty wiped off.

B. All of you are not ſo minded on my Knowledge; but ought there

not

B. I confess, the Animosities on both lids have been sinful, and much obstructive to the coming at the Truth : but if you will promise placidly to argue the Cafe with me, you (hall hear what we have to say ; and I will as readily entertain anything from you : and if we can come to a better understanding between us, it will be well.

S. The proposal is fair, and I shall endeavor to comply with it ; only give me leave first to Catechise you in a few things.

B. I shall freely give you my sense of anything you will ask.

S. Do you believe that there are any Witches?

B. Yes, no doubt; the Scripture is clear for it; and it is an injurious reflection that some of yours have cast upon us, as if we called that Truth in question. Whether anything we attest doth undermine it, is to be considered.

S. And ought not these Witches to be Punished ?

B Without question: the Precept of Gods Word is for it: only they must be so proved.

S. But may not Witches be to Detected, as to be liable to a right consious Sentence and Execution

B. I believe it, though I think it not to easy as some make it, yet God often righteously leaves them to discover themselves.

S. Ought not the Civil Magistrate to use utmost diligence in the Searching out Witchcraft, where he is directed by Gods Providence to grounds of a just suspicion of it

B. Doubtless: yet ought he to manage the matter with great Prudence and Caution, an. attend right Rules in the Search. But now give me leave to take the like liberty of propounding two or three questions to you ?

With Freedom.
B. Taking it for granted that there are Witches in NEW ENGLAND, which no rational man will dare to deny; I ask whether Innocent Persons may

not to be good grounds of ſuſpicion, before a perſon (eſpecially of honeſt Converſation) be brought on to the ſtage to be examined for ſuch a Crime?

S. 'I ſee no danger in owning the Affirmative; I am not yet convinced 'that there is any Reflexion in it upon us.

B. That is not my buſineſs: but do you think that every ſuſpicion is enough to commit a man to Priſon for ſuch a Crime?

S. 'Why not, if the ſuſpicion be built on juſt Preſumptions! for this 'is only in order to a fair Trial, which is to paſs through two Juries, where 'he will have the liberty to Vindicate his Innocence openly.

B. You muſt give me leave to diſſent from you here, before I Proceed in my Queries. I am informed that in a Legal Warrant made for the Commitment of a Perſon, his Crime may not be mentioned under the Lenefying term of ſuſpicion; but the Act or Acts are to be Expreſly Charged; *E. G.* you are to take into your Cuſtody, *&c.* for ſeveral Acts of Witchcraft Committed on the body of, *&c.* now certainly, there is more than a meer ſuſpicion upon fallacious Preſumptions, neceſſary for the doing of this Honeſtly. Our ſtatute Laws therefore have provided great Cautions againſt the Committing of perſons without Subſtantial grounds: Beſides, it is certain, that on lighter ſuſpicions of Capital Crimes, Bail may be taken; ſo that if the perſon be committed to Goal, his Mittimus goes for want of Bail, and doth not directly charge the Crime on him; yea and Bail may ſtill be taken after Commitment. Moreover, Reaſon it ſelf ſaith, that when a man is Committed without Bail, and may not come off without a Jury; and in order to that an Indictment muſt be formed againſt him, where the Acts are again to be Poſitively & Particularly charged upon him, and Witneſſes to be Examined, which expoſeth him to open Ignominy, there ought to be ſomthing Subſtantial againſt him. Yea Conſcience will tell a Juſtice, that if he verily believes that a Grand-Jury ought not, or cannot Legally find *Billa vera* againſt ſuch a man, he doth him an ineparable wrong in ſo committing him; ſince hereby, his Credit is Steined, his Liberty Reſtreined, his Time Loſt, and great Charges and Damages come upon him; *which, who ſhall repair?*

S. 'Theſe things ſeem to have ſome weight in them, but I think them 'aliene from our Caſe: pleaſe then to Proceed.

B. I believe them not ſo aliene; but for the preſent let me ask: Do you think that a leſs clear Evidence is ſufficient for Conviction in the Caſe of Witchcraft, than is neceſſary in other Capital Caſes, ſuppoſe Murder, *&c.*

S. 'We ſuppoſe it neceſſary to take up with leſs, how elſe ſhall Witches 'be detected and puniſhed according to Gods Command? Witchcraft is

not be falsely accused of Witchcraft ?

S. I verily believe it, and hope none of you suppose us so uncharitable as to think the contrary.

B.Do you not think it an hard lot for an Innocent Person to have the aspersion of Witchcraft cast upon him ?

S. Without scruple ; there being no Crime more scandalous and abominable; nor any that is with more difficulty wiped off.

B. All of)-on are not so minded on my Knowledge: but ought there not to be good grounds of suspicion, before a person (especially of honest Conversation) be brought on to the Rage to be examined for such a Crime ?

S.I fee no danger in owning the Affirmative ; I am not yet con` winced that there is any Reflexion in it upon us.

B. That is not my business : but did you think that every suspicion is enough to commit a man to Prison for suck a Crime ?

S. Why not, if the suspicion be built on just Presumptions ! for this is only-in order to a fair Trial, which is to pass through two juries, where ` he will have the liberty to Vindicate his Innocence openly.

B.You must give me leave to diffent from you here, before I Proceed in my Queries. I am informed that in a Legal Warrant made for the Commitment of a Person, his Crime may not be mentioned under the Lenefying term of suspicion; but the Act or Acts are to be Expressly Charged ; E. G. you are to take into your Custody, &-c. for several Acts of Witchcraft Committed on the body of, &c. now certainly, there is more than a meer suspicion upon fallacious Presumptions, necessary for the doing of this Honestly. Our statute Laws therefore have provided great Cautions against the Committing of persons without Substantial rounds: Besides, it is certain, that on lighter suspicions of Capital Crimes, Bail may be taken; so that if the person be committed to Goal, his Mittimus goes for want of Bail, and doth not directly charge the Crime on him; yea and Bail may Rill be taken after Commitment. Moreover, Reason it self faith, that when a man is Committed without Bail, and may not come off without a Jury ; and in order to that an Indictnent must be formed against him, where the Acts are again to be Po-

'then eſteemed Capital, when the perſon is Guilty of being in Combina-
'tion with the Devil ; which muſt be proved by Preſumptions ; for who
'ſaw or heard them Covenanting ?

B. This is a dangerous Principle, and contrary to the mind of God, who hath appointed that there ſhall be good and clear proof againſt the Criminal ; elſe he is not Providentially delivered into the hands of Juſtice, to be taken off from the earth. Nor hath God exempted this Caſe of Witchcraft from the General Rule. Beſides, reaſon tells us, that the more horrid the Crime is, the more Cautious we ought to be in making any guilty of it.

S. 'But how then ſhall Witches be detected and executed? muſt the 'Land grown under the burden of them, and is there no relief?

B. Witches (as other Criminals) are not to be executed till detected ; nor are they detected, till indubitably proved to be ſo : for which we are to uſe Gods way, and wait his time : and his will in his Providence is, that ſome mens ſins ſhall go before hand to Judgment, and others ſhall come after.

S. 'But Divines and Lawyers put great weight on Preſumptions as *Per-*
'*kins, Bernard, Dalton, &c.* and you ſeem to make them inſignificant.

B. So we are indeed charged, but injuriouſly. As for *Dalton*, he only preſcribes Rules for Juſtices in their private Capacity, for the Examining, or at moſt the Commitment of the Perſons ſuſpected ; and his Rules are given ſo Confuſedly, that I think no Juſtice can underſtand his Duty by them. As for *Perkins* and *Bernard*, whom you inſtance in ; I preſume that either you have not read them, or miſtake them. They rightly diſtinguiſh between Preſumption and Conviction ; and tell us that ſome Preſumptions, are ſtronger than others ; ſome only ſufficient for Examination, others enough for Commitment : but they confidently aver, that all preſumptions, which are no more but ſo, are ſhort of being Conviction ; *and where are you then ?* and indeed they ſay nothing but what hath good reaſon in it ; for to put a man to death by bare Preſumptions, is to do it by gueſs, and that is ſomething hard.

S. 'You ſeem to be very nice and critical in this point.

B. And why not ? there is Life in the caſe ; beſides a perpetual infamy on the perſon, and a ruinous reproach upon his Family.

S. 'But what then will you allow for a clear Conviction ?

B. I will tell you my thoughts in ſeveral Particulars, Againſt which if you pleaſe you may object : and Firſt, there muſt be a matter of fact evidently done, and proved : for, where there is no fact, there is no ground of accuſation againſt any perſon.

S. 'I ſhall make no reply againſt this.

B. Secondly ;

sitively & Particularly charged upon him, and Witnesses to be Examined, which exposeth him to open Ignominy, there ought to be something Substantial against him. Yea Conscience will tell a justice, that if he verily believes that a Grand jury ought not, or cannot Legally find Billa vera against such a man, he doth him an ineparable wrong in so committing him ; since hereby, his Credit is Steined, his Liberty Restreined, his Time Lost, and great Charges and Damages come upon him ; which, who shall repair ?

S. These things seem to have some weight in them, but I think them aliene from our Case: please then to Proceed.

B. I believe them not so aliene ; but for the present let me ask : Do you think that a less clear Evidence is sufficient for Conviction in the Case of Witchcraft, than is necessary in other Capital Cases, suppose Murder, c:; c.

S. ‘ We suppose it necessary to take up with less, how else shall ‘ Witches be detected and punished according to Gods Command? ‘ Witchcraft is then esteemed Capital, when the person is Guilty of `being in Combination with the Devil ; which muff be proved by Presumptions ; for who saw or heard them Covenanting?

B. This is a dangerous Principle, and contrary to the mind of God, who hath appointed that there shall be good and clear proof against the Criminal: else he is not Providentially delivered into the hands of Justice, to be taken off from the earth. Nor hath God exempted this Case of Witchcraft from the General Rule. Besides, reason tells us, that the more horrid the Crime is, the more Cautious we ought to be in making any guilty of it.

S. But how then shall Witches be detected and executed? Must the Land grown under the burden of them, and is there no relief ?

B. Witches (as other Criminals) are not to be executed till detected ; nor are they detected, till indubitably proved to be so : for which we are to use Gods way, and wait his time : and his will in his providence is, that some mens sins shall go before hand to Judgment, and others shall come after.

S. But Divines fi Lawyers put great weight on Presumptions as Perkirs, Bernard, Dalton, &c., and you seem to make them insignificant.

B. Secondly; this matter of fact muſt carry in it the Evidence of the Crime which it is brought to prove.

S. 'What do you mean by Evidence?

B. I mean, that it be infallibly, or if you will, indubetably certain, that he who did that fact, rendred himſelf by it Guilty of the Crime, for the proof whereof it is alledged; otherwiſe, the Crime is not found out by the fact.

S. 'Why may not a ſtrong Preſumption do here, eſpecially if there be 'many facts which look that way?

B. Good reaſon; for if the fact may be done, and yet the perſon doing it be innocent of the Crime, the Verdict is meerly conjectural, and the man dyes by will and doom: whereas God hath not granted to men ſuch a power over one anothers Lives. If the Hypotheſis be not neceſſary, there can be no Evidence or Demonſtration drawn from it: and if the artificial argument fail, the Teſtimony cannot affect the party.

S. 'You may pleaſe to proceed.

B. Thirdly; a full and clear Legal diſcovery, that the party accuſed hath done the fact by which the Crime is evidenced. For it is one thing to be certain that there is Witchcraft in the thing, and another to know who is concerned in it: nor are we to fix it upon conjectures, be they never ſo probable.

S. 'We ſhall agree in this Doctrine of a Legal diſcovery, and if we can 'do ſo in the Application, I hope there will be no further difference be-'tween us. Pleaſe then to interpret your mind in this matter.

B. There are two things whereby this Concluſion may be made evident; and any one of them is ſufficient: and they are both of them well known, and plentifully ſpoken to by Authors: it will there be needleſs now to inſiſt on them.

S. 'Let us a little Diſcourſe on it, for poſſibly we are not of one 'mind about them.

B. The firſt is, A free and full Confeſſion made by the Accuſed Perſon, of the Fact, being in his right mind, and not frighted or forced into it:

S. 'But may not a perſon falſly accuſe himſelf, and ſo dy of a Crime 'of which he is Innocent?

B. He may: nevertheleſs, if all due means be uſed, his Blood is on his own Head, and the Civil Authority will be blameleſs.

S. 'What mean you by a perſon in his right mind?

B. One that is neither diſtracted nor diſcontented; and ſo may not be ſuppoſed either to confeſs he knows not what; or to do it to rid himſelf of life, and to diſtraction I account deep Mellancholy expreſſed by the

pre-

B. So we are indeed charged, but injuriously. : 1s for Dalton, he only prescribes Rules for Justices in their private Capacity, for the Examining, or at most the commitment of the Persons suspected; and his Rules are given so Confusedly, that I think no justice can understand his Duty by them. As for Perkins and Bernard, whom you instance in ; 1 presume that either you have not read them, or mistake them. They rightly distinguish between Presumption and Conviction ; and tell us that some Presumptions, are stronger than others; some only sufficient for Examination, others enough for Commitment: but they confidently aver, that all presumptions, which are no more but to, are short of being Concidion ; and where are you then? and indeed they say nothing but what hath good reason in it ; for to put a man to death by bare Presumptions, is to do it by guess, and that is something hard.

S. You seem to be very nice and critical in this point. And why not ? there is Life in the case; betides a perpetual infamy on the person, and a ruinous reproach upon his Family.
S. But what then will you allow for a clear Conviction ?

B. I will tell you my thoughts in several Particulars, :Against which if you please you may objet : and First, there mull be a matter of fact evidently done, and proved: for, where there is no fact there is no ground of accusation against any person.

I shall make no reply against this.
Secondly; this matter of fact must carry in it the Evidence of the Crime which it is brought to prose.

S. What do you mean by evidence?

B. I mean, that it be infallibly, or if you will, indubetably certain, that he who did that fact, rendered himself by it Guilty of the Crime, for the proof whereof it is alledged ; otherwise, the Crime is not found out by the fact.

S. Why may not a strong Presumption do here, especially if there be many facts which look that way?

B. Good reason ; for if the fact may be clone, and yet the person doing it be innocent of the Crime, the Verdict is meerly conjectural, and the man dyes by will and doom: whereas God hath not granted to men such a

prevalency of ſtrange Imaginations, apt to lead him into a belief of any thing againſt himſelf or others. In theſe caſes much tenderneſs is to be uſed.

S. 'But how ſhall this be known?

B. Enquiry is to be made of thoſe that converſe with them: and if there be nothing appear but that they are in their right mind, the Caſe is clear againſt them.

S. 'But you talk of a free and voluntary Confeſſion; Do you condemn 'the Examining of them by Civil Authority, and ſtrictly proving them 'by queſtions, which are proper to bring them to ſuch a Confeſſion?

B. By no means, provided it be fairly done.

S. 'When is that?

B. Firſt, When there are ſtrong Preſumptions againſt the Perſon; and that is the main uſe of Preſumption, *viz.* to bring the perſon upon Examination; and to do it without ſuch, is a breach of the Rule of Charity, which is to think no evil.

S. 'And when elſe?

B. Secondly, As I before ſaid, when means are not uſed to force or fright them into it. Extorted Confeſſions are not fair.

S. 'You talk of Spaniſh Inquiſitions; I hope none of ours are charge-'able with it, and yet I know there are ſome who plead for Examination 'by Torture?

B. See you to that; I am not accuſing, but arguing; and let me tell you, there are other ways of undue force and fright, beſides, Racks, Strappadoes and ſuch like things as Spaniſh Inquiſitors uſe.

S. 'What are they?

B. I may tell you another time: but I now paſs to the ſecond ground of Conviction, which is, The Teſtimony of two ſufficient Humane Witneſſes, to one and the ſame Individual Fact, as done by the Party Accuſed.

S. 'You are too ſtreight Laced in expreſſing of this, and I am diſſatis-'fied with it.

B. I am willing to be convinced by Reaſon.

S. 'I ſee no reaſon why it is neceſſary that there ſhould be two Wit-'neſſes to the ſame Individual Fact: I thought it had been enough, if it 'were to the ſame Fact in Specie: I know Judicious and Learned Men 'are of this mind, and tell us, that it is enough to gain Humane Credit; 'if one man ſay that he ſaw Lions in *Africa* laſt year, and another comes 'and ſays that he ſaw Lions there this year; though it was not at the 'ſame time, nor likely the ſame Individual Lions: why then may it 'not do in this Caſe?

B. The

power over one anothers Lives. If the Hypothesis be not necessary, there can be no Evidence or Demonstration drawn from it : and if the artificial argument fail. the Testimony cannot affect the party.

S. You may please to proceed.

B. Thirdly ; a full and clear Legal discovery, that the party accused hath done the fact by which the Crime is evidenced. For it is one thing to be certain that there is Witchcraft in the thing, and another to know who is concerned in it : nor are we to fix it upon conjectures, be they never so probable.

S. We shall agree in this Doctrine of a Legal discovery, and if we can do so in the Application, I hope there will be no further difference ` between us. Please then to interpret your mind in this matter.

B. There are two things whereby this Conclusion may- be made evident ; and any one of them is sufficient : and they are both of them well known, and plentifully spoken to by Authors: it will there be needless now to insist on them.

S. Let us a little Discourse on it, for possibly we are not of one mind `about them.

B. The first is, A free and full Confession made by the Accused person, of the Fact, being in his right mind, and not frightened or forced into it.

S. But may not a person falsely accuse himself. and so dy of a Crime `of NN which he is Innocent?

B. He may : nevertheless, if all due means be used, his Blood is on his own Head, and the Civil Authority will be blameless.

S. What mean you by a person in his right mind

B. One that is neither distracted nor discontented ; and so may not be supposed either to confess he knows not what ; or to do it to rid himself of life, and to diffraction I account deep Mellancholy expressed by the prevalency of strange Imaginations, apt to lead him into a belief of anything against himself or others. In these cases much tenderness is to be used.

B. The caſe is vaſtly different. I may give an Hiſtorical Credit to Reports, upon probable grounds, becauſe, if they ſhould prove falſe, no man is hurt by it, and therefore, one good credible Author may ſuffice here. But to take away the Names and *Lives* of Perſons on ſo eaſy a belief, is not ſo light a matter. The miſtake doth a miſchief irreparable. Beſides, the moral reaſon of appointing two Witneſſes at leaſt to confirm a matter by, is properly referrible to Individual Facts: for, it is by ſuch that a man is proved guilty: now my denial challengeth as much credit as anothers affirmation againſt me: and every particular Fact, having my particular denial againſt him that chargeth me; there is but one to one in that Individual: and the Law of Equity and Charity requires that I be believed in my own *Defence*, where there is nothing to preponderate.

S. 'If this Rule be always followed, it will be hard to puniſh Wickedneſs.

B. If it be not carefully followed, there will be no Security for Innocence.

S. 'I am not clear what you mean by Humane Witneſſes; I have un-'derſtood that you deny the Afflicted Perſons to be ſuch.

B. That is one of your miſtakes; and you labour of a great many in your judging of us: We acknowledge the Afflicted Perſons to be Humane; and if they are Witneſſes at all, they muſt be Humane Witneſſes; but I truſt you will ſee your Error anon.

S. 'But what then do you mean by the Teſtimony of Humane Wit-'neſſes?

B. I mean, that the Teſtimony it ſelf be Humane, as well as the Witneſſes; or, to ſpeak more plain, that the Thing Teſtified be that which he came to the knowledge of, after the manner of men.

S. 'What do you mean by that?

B. I intend, That which one man can know concerning another by his Senſes, and that according to the true nature, and uſe of them; whatſoevor comes in any other way, is either by extraordinary Revelation from God, or by the inſinuation of the *Devil*; and what Credit is legally to be given to a thing which an Humane Perſon ſwears, meerly upon the Devils Information?

S. 'It ſeems then you would altogether invalidate the Teſtimony of 'our Afflicted.

B. I have many things againſt it, which I ſhall reduce to two Heads. Firſt, I cannot think them to be competent Witneſſes.

S. 'It may be you run away with the common vogue, that they are ſcan-'dalous perſons, liars, and looſe in their Converſation, and therefore not to

'be

S. But how shall this be known ?

B. Enquiry is to be made of those that converse with them : and if there be nothing appear but that the;- are in their right mind, the Case is clear against them.

S. But you talk of a free and voluntary Confession; so you condemn the Examining of them by Civil Authority, and strictly proving ` them by questions, which are proper to bring them to such a Confession.

B. By no means, provided it be fairly done.

S. When is that ?

B. First when there are strong Presumptions against the Person; and that is the main use of Presumption, viz. to bring the person upon Examination ; and to do it without such, is a breach of the Rule of Charitv, which is to think no evil.

S. And when else?

B. Secondly, As I before said, when means are not used to force or fright their into it extorted Confessions are not fair.

S. You talk of Spanish Inquisitions; 1 hope none of nuts are ‘ chargeable with it, and yet I know there are force who plead for ha’ amination by Torture

B. See you to that ; I am not accusing, but arguing; and let me tell you, there are other ways of undue force and fright, besides, Racks, 5trahpadoes and Each like things as Spanish Inquisitors use.

S. What are they?

B. I may tell you another time: but I now pass to the second ground of Conviction, which is The testimony of two sufficient Humane Witnesses, to one and the same Individual Fact, as done by the Party Accused.

S. You are too streight Laced in expressing of this, and I am dissatisfied with it.

'be believed: but you are miſtaken: and if they were, yet they may by 'this affliction be made better; but however; they are not upon Record 'for any of theſe things, and are therefore without any legal exception.

B. I have heard many things of that nature, and I do believe, if they be true, and made ſo to appear, it ought to weaken their Teſtimony, although they do not ſtand upon Record; ſo that if ſuch things be proved before a Jury (who are Judges of VVitneſſes, and of the weight of their Evidence) they are in Conſcience to count them inſufficient, and I am ſure that utmoſt care ought to be had about the qualifications of ſuch whoſe Teſtimony is taken againſt mens lives; that they be according to the Rules, which Moral Heathen, by the light of nature have acknowledged to be neceſſary. But here is not my great ſtick.

S. 'Why? They are Humane Perſons as well as you.

B. True; and yet there may be that which will render them incompetent. As, ſuppoſe them to be poſſeſſed perſons. I know you ſtifly deny it, becauſe you fore-ſee that if you grant it, their Witneſs is thereby rendred invalid.

S. 'Yea, and we have ſufficient reaſon to deny it.

B. Be not over confident, you may be miſtaken. What are your reaſons?

S. 'They are bewitched, and therefore not poſſeſſed; there are ſeven 'ſigns of one bewitched, and ſix of theſe agree in them.

B. I diſpute not that; though I find ſome to be very confuſed in this point: but ſuppoſing them bewitched, they may be poſſeſſed too: and it is an ordinary thing for a poſſeſſion to be introduced by a bewitching, as there are many inſtances in Hiſtory do confirm.

S. 'But they have their fits by times and are out of them again.

B. And yet they may be poſſeſſed. Such as were poſſeſſed by Devils, on the records of the Goſpel Hiſtory, were ſo.

S. 'Put there is no Symptom on them, which may not well agree to 'one that is bewitched, and not poſſeſſed.

B. I believe you are miſtaken. I could name many things, which I think muſt either prove them Witches or poſſeſſed; and I charitably believe the latter of them.

S. 'I could never be convinced of that.

B. It may be ſo; and I could give a reaſon for it. But what do you think of their extraordinary ſight, which you make ſo much uſe of to the aſtoniſhment of ſome of us? and what of their telling of things done at a diſtance, their Predicting of things future! and (if you may be Credited) their diſcovering of things Secret, and done before they were born,

and

B. I am willing to be convinced by Reason.

S. I see no reason why it is necessary that there should be two Witnesses to the same Individual Fact: I thought it had been enough, if it were to the same Fact in Specie : I know judicious and Learned Men are of this mind, and tell us, that it is enough to gain Humane credit; if one man say that he saw Lions in Africa last year, and another comes & says that he saw Lions there this year; though it was not at the same time, nor likely the same Individual Lions: why then may it not do in this Case?

B.The case is vastly different. I may give an Historical Credit to Reports, upon probable grounds, because, if they should prove false, no man is hurt by it, and therefore, one good credible author may suffice here. But to take away the Names and Lives of Persons on so easy a belief, is not so light a matter. The mistake doth a mischief irreparable. besides, the moral reason of appointing two Witnesses at least to confirm a matter by, is properly referrible to Individual Facts: for, it is by such that a man is proved guilty : now my denial challengeth as much credit a, anothers affirmation against me : and every particular Fact, having my particular denial against him that chargeth me: there is but one to one in that Individual: and the Law of Equity and Charity requires that I be believed in my own Defence, where there is nothing to preponderate.

S. I If this Rule be always followed, it will be hard to punish Wickedness.

B. f it be not carefully followed, there will be no Security for Innocence.

S. I am not clear what you mean by Humane Witnesses : I have understood that you deny the Afflicted Persons to be such. .

B. That is one of your mistakes ; and you labour of a great many to your judging of us: acknowledge the Afflicted Persons to be Humane; and if they are Witnesses at all, they must be Humane Witnesses ; but I trust you will see your Error anon.

S. But what then do you mean by the Testimony of Humane Witnesses?

B. I mean, that the Testimony it self be Humane, as well as the Witnesses; or, to speak more plain, that the 'Thing Testified be that which he came to the knowledge of, after the manner of men.

and telling the names of perſons whom they never heard of; and many things of like nature.

S. 'I believe theſe may be the effects of Witchcraft.

B. Not of meer Witchcraft; nor yet are infallible evidence of Witchcraft: they may be without it, immediately from the Devil; and cannot be without either ſome poſſeſſion, or ſome unlawful commerce with the Devil.

S. 'You will hardly perſwade ours to believe you in this point.

B. It may be ſo: but I have further to object againſt their being ſufficient Witneſſes. *Viz.* Their incapacity to give a full and clear Teſtimony, to the face of the Priſoner at the Barr; and yet that is requiſite by Law and Reaſon.

S. 'That is becauſe the Witches ſmite them down with their poiſoned 'Looks.

B. That is more than you can prove: but ſuppoſe it; they are hereby Providentially prevented of doing that which is requiſite in a Legal Witneſs.

S. 'It is indeed an Obſtruction for a time; but with much Patience 'there is at length a Teſtimony gained of them.

B. A poor one too: for you ſay that the Devil ſomtimes takes away their memory, and it muſt be refreſhed, by putting things to them, and that is enough: ſomtimes alſo there muſt be a great many parcels in the Evidence, and that muſt make it confuſed.

S. 'But ſomtimes they are as well as you are, and are they not com-'petent Witneſſes now?

B. I doubt that; for whiles they have their ſpectral ſight, I cannot ſuppoſe them to be clear from the Charm. But this is not the thing I mainly inſiſt upon; But the ſecond thing I would plead to is, their Teſtimony is not Convictive.

S. 'How ſo?

B. For two reaſons, becauſe it is not Humane; nor doth it affect the perſon.

S. 'Why not Humane? they are Humane perſons I ſuppoſe that 'give it.

B. True; but how came they by their Knowledge? it is not according to the way that is natural for men to know things by; but it is either Supernaturally, or Preter-naturally; and that muſt be Extraordinarily, either from God or Satan.

S. 'Many of us think it to be from God for the diſcovery of Witch-'craft.

S. I What do you mean by that ?

B. I intend, That which one man can know concerning another by his Senses, and that according to the true nature, and use of them; whatsoever comes in any other way, is either by extraordinary Revelation from God, or by the insinuation of the Devil ; and what Credit is legally to be given to a thin, which an Humane Person swears, meerly upon the Devils Information ?

S. It seems then you would altogether invalidate the Testimony of our Afflicted?

B. I have many things against it, which I shall reduce to two Heads. First, I cannot think them to be competent Witnesses.

S. It may be you run away with the common vogue, that they are scandalous persons, liars, and loose in their Conversation, and therefore not to be believed: but you are mistaken : and if they- were, yet they ï may b\ this affliction be made better ; but however - they are not upon a Record for any of these things, and are therefore Without any legal exception.

B. I have heard many things of that nature, and I do believe, if they be true, and made so to appear, it ought to weaken their Testimonv, although they do not stand upon Record; so that if such thins be proved before a Jury (who are judges of Witnesses, and of the weight of their Evidence) they are in Conscience to count them insufficient, and I am sure that utmost care ought to be had about the qualifications of such whose Testimony is taken against mens lives; that they be according to the Rules, which Moral Heathen, by the light of nature have acknowledged to be necessary. But here is not my great stick.

T.

Why ? They are Humane Persons as well as you.

B. True; and yet there may be that which Will render them incompetent. As, suppose them to be possessed persons. I know you stiffly deny it, because you fore-see that if you grant it, their Witness is thereby rendered invalid.

B. And and one of yours tells me, he is confirmed in it, becauſe the confeſſing Witches ſay ſo. But no more of that. I am ſure, by your own conceſſions, the moſt of their information is from the Afflicting Spectres, and the Black man, (it may be ſomtimes he puts on white, to look like an Angel of Light) I believe when God raiſeth up Prophets, he will reveale himſelf in ſome other way to them, than by Devils; and in ſome other ſort of raptures than in Tormenting Fits.

S. 'But if it be of the Devil, it may be over-ruled by God to diſcover 'wicked perſons, and bring them to deſerved puniſhment.

B. I believe Devils are under the Government of Gods Providence, & may be by his ruling hand improved in ſuch diſcoveries; but it is without their deſigning either the Glory of God or the good of men, but the contrary; and therefore whatever comes from them is to be ſuſpected; and it is dangerous uſing or crediting them too far.

S. 'But what if God will Extraordinarily uſe Devils in this affair? 'ſhall we reject his Providence in it?

B. This is a thing which I am not ready to believe in this Caſe; and that becauſe it is an inſufficient Medium to attain the end, and that for the Second reaſon I named, *Viz.* it doth not affect the perſon accuſed.

S. 'You ſaid ſo indeed; but it needs proof.

B. When I ſay it affects him not; I principally intend, it will not amount to an evidence againſt him; and if ſo, what diſcovery is there in it?

S. 'Do you not believe that his Spectre is ſeen afflicting by the per-'ſon afflicted?

B. Suppoſing it; yet it doth not hence follow that he is the Witch.

S. 'We muſt grant that it is the Devil in the Spectre; but it is by the 'Parties conſent, and therefore it proves him Guilty.

B. I know you all plead ſo; and tell us that the Devil cannot repreſent an Innocent perſon doing miſchief, but never proved it; nor can we believe you.

S. 'Where then is the Rectoral Holineſs of God in Governing the 'World?

B. Where was it when God ſuffered *Naboths* Life to be taken away, by falſe Witneſſes? where was it when *Solomon* made that remarke in, *Eccleſ.* 8. 14? It is not for ſuch ſilly Mortals as you and I to preſcribe to him who ſits King for ever.

S. 'But how could the World ſtand if it might be ſo permitted?

B. There are ſome things now and then Evene; that if it were common,

S. Yea, and we have sufficient reason to deny it.

B. Be not over confident, you may be mistaken. What are your reasons?

S. They are bewitched, and therefore not possessed; there are seven signs of one bewitched, and fix of these agree in them.

B. I dispute not that ; though I find force to be very confused in this point : but supposing them bewitched, they may be possessed too: and it is an ordinary thing for a possession to be introduced by a bewitching, as there are many instances in History do confirm.

S. But they have their fits by times and are out of them again.

B. End yet they may be poffeffed. Such as were posselfed by Devils, (o)n the records of the Gospel Hillory, were so.

f. ‘ But there is no Simptom on them, which may not well agree to ‘ one that is bewitched, and not pofesscd.

T~. I believe you are mistaken. I could name mangy- things, which I think mull prove them Witches or pofetted : and I charitable b~lie~ e the latter of them.

.S. ` I could never be convinced of that.

B. It may be so : and I could give a reason for it. But what do you think of their extraordinary fight, which you make so much ufe of to the astonishment of sotne of us ? and what of their telling of thins clone at a dittance, their Predicting of things future’. and (if you may be Credited) their discovering of things Secret, and done before they- were born, and telling the names of perfors whom they never heard of; and many things of like nature.

S. ` I believe these may be the effects of Witchcraft.

B. Not of meer Witchcraft ; nor yet are infallible evidence of Witch craft : they may be without it, immediately- from the Devil ; and cannot be without either force possession, or fotne unlawful commerce with the Devil.

mon, would ſubvert the VVorld: and if Devils had their unreſtrained Liberty, they would ſoon diſcover it: and yet for God when he pleaſeth to ſuffer ſuch things, to Evene in a way of Judgment, is conſiſtent with his Holineſs, and is alſo Doctrinal to us.

S. ' But by this notion good mens lives might be expoſed and loſt.

B. That is your fault who give ſuch a credit to theſe things. I believe, if it were your lot to be thus accuſed, you would think it hard ſo to be ſo cenſured, or dealt by: you would either repent of your raſhneſs, or turn Atheiſt.

S. ' I never fear it; God will never permit ſuch a thing.

B. That makes you ſo cenſorious: and others have ſo ſaid, but now they are accuſed, and eat their words.

S. ' Can you give an inſtance of any Godly Perſon ſo accuſed?

B. Hiſtory ſupplies us with enough, and thoſe not only Innocent, which were ſufficient, but famous for extraordinary Piety.

S. ' Who knows but they were Hypocrites and Witches for all?

B. True, and ſo are you for ought *I* certainly know: but the Rule of Charity bids us to think well of them, till that appear which ought to remove this Charity.

S. ' We think ſo too, but ſuppoſe here is enough, preſuming it neceſ' ſary that there muſt be the conſent of the party.

B. And it is a preſumption in you, and inſufficient, till you can prove that the Devil cannot do it without their conſent, which I believe you never will.

S. ' We do not yet ſee that you have proved that he ever hath ſo done

B. That's a wheedle: none knows anothers heart: let us produce the moſt eminent inſtances of that kind, and you reply, who knows but they were Hypocrites and Witches? But mean while you forget that it lies upon you to prove, that it cannot be; elſe how can you ſafely conclude the Evidence you would draw from it? it is a principle you practiſe upon, and ſure you ſhould make it good: Beſides, we have arguments to make it Rationally appear, that it may well be.

S. ' We are willing to hearken to Reaſon.

B. That's well: Do you really believe that all the perſons accuſed are Witches.

S. ' God forbid that I ſhould be ſo uncharitable.

B. This is a plain contradiction: for if you believe the former concluſion, you muſt either ſuſpect the Accuſers of Falſhood, which you will not bear, or of a deluſion, which you deny, or that all ſo Accuſed are Guilty.

S. ` You NN-111 hardly perfwade ours to believe you oil this point.

B. It maybe so : but I have further to object againll their being suffcient Witnelfes. hip. Their incapacity to give a full and clear Testimonv, to the face of the Prisoner. at the Parr ; and yet that is requisite by Law and Reason.
` That is becaufe the Witches finite them down with their.poifoned ` Looks. h'. That is more than you can prove : but suppose it; they are herehy Providentially prevented of doing that which is requisite in a Legal Witness.

S. ` It is indeed an Obstruction for a time : but with much Patience there is at length a Teltimony gained of them.

B. A poor one too : for you lay that the Devil somtimes takes away their memory-, and it inustr be refreshed, by putting things to them, and that is enough : somtimes also there mull be a great many parcels in the Evidence, and that mull make it confufed.

~. ltut somtiines the-- are as well as you are, and are they not coil) ' petent Witnefes now ?

R. I doubt that ; for Mules they have their speclral fight, I cannot suppose them to be clear from the Charm. But this is not the thing 1 mainly info upon ; But the fecond thin, I would plead to is, their Testimony is not Conviclive.

S. ' Ho \\ Co ?

B. For two reasons, becaufe it is not Humane ; nor cloth it affect the person.

`. ` Why not Humane ? they are Humane persons I suppose that ` give it. li. True ; but how came they by their Knowledge? it is not according to the way that is natural for then to know things by ; but it is either Supernaturally, or Preter-naturally ; and that mull be Extraordinarily, either from God or Satan.

.S. ` Many of us think it to be froth God for the discovery of Witchcraft.

S. ' We are indeed aſtoniſhed at the thing; eſpecially ſince the num-
' ber of the accuſed is ſo formidably encreaſed.

B. Nor is there a proſpect of an end of thoſe accuſations in this way. But will you admit of a little reaſoning in this caſe? I ſuppoſe you believe that the Devil can do this, and will too, ſhould God permit him ſo far.

S. ' No doubt.

B That God may permit him, conſiſtent with his Rectoral Holineſs, is (I think) undeniable. He can make a ſcourge of it to puniſh a Backſliding People by: he can humble his own Children by it, and make it turn to their good in the end; he hath done things as unaccountable as this; and who hath limited him in this only caſe.

S. ' But if the Afflicted Perſons Teſtifie that they ſee ſuch an one afflicting them perſonally, muſt not this be Convictive? ſhall he excuſe himſelf by ſaying the Devil did it in his likeneſs, without his conſent? may not men make this excuſe in all other Crimes that are witneſſed againſt them? and where is the courſe of Juſtice then?

B. I have heard much ſuch inſignificant talk: Suppoſing the Afflicted capable of giving a Teſtimony, we ſtill ſay; that if two Witneſſes aver ſuch a thing concerning a perſon, and he cannot prove himſelf to be in another place at the ſame time, he is legally convicted, and (if innocent yet) he muſt adore God's Sovereign Providence, and acquit the Judges and Juries: But what do you ſay, when they do it whilſt the Accuſed is in preſence, and many Witneſſes muſt ſay it is not he? for he cannot be in two places at once.

S. That is the Witchcraft in the caſe, which is hereby diſcovered: for ' it is the *Devil* who doth all the miſchief, only it is by their conſent, o-
' therwiſe they could not be ſupoſed to be Witches.

B. But when it is ſo, it ſtill remains to prove their conſent, which they deny; and your argument is not valid to evince it; it being a concluſion which you draw at leaſt from uncertain premiſes, how then ſhould it be certain! where the Word of God requires that the thing be certain.

S. ' Witches then muſt not be known but by their perſonal Confeſſi-
' on: and this is to prevent the finding out of ſuch abominations.

B. Otherwiſe Innocent Perſons may be condemned for Witches: and what a fearful thing is that? and know it, that God never intended to bring to light all hidden works or workers of Darkneſs in this World; nor will it be imputed as a Sin, that men did not puniſh ſecret ſins without clear diſcoveries: but if in a precipitant zeal, they ſhould cut off any for Crimes not proved, it will be imputed. Nevertheleſs, there are other

ways

B. .End one of yours tells me, he is confirmed in it, becaufe the confessing Witches say so. But no more of that. I am sure, by your own concessions, the moll of their information is from the Afflicting Spec`Ires, and the Black man, (it may be somtimes lie puts on white, to look like an Angel of Light) I believe when God raifeth tip Prophets, he will reveale himself in sorne other way to them, than by Devils; and in some other sort of raptures than in Tormenting Fits.

S. ` But if it be of the Devil, it may be over-ruled by God to difcover ` wicked persons, and bring them to deferved punishment.

B. I believe Devils are under the Government of Gods Providence, & may be by his ruling hand improved in such difcoveries: but it is without their designing either the Glory of God or the good of men, but the contrary ; and therefore whatever comes from them i; to be suspecled ; and it is dangerous Winn or crediting them too far.

.S. ` But what if God will Extraordinarily ufe Devils in this affair' ` shall we reject his Providence in it?

B. This is a thing which I am not ready to believe in this Cafe; and that becaufe it is an insufficient Medium to attain the end, and that for the Second reason I named, les it doth not affeel the person accuded.

S. ` You said so indeed ; but it needs proof.

B. When I say it affeels him not; I principally intend, it will not amount to an evidence against him; and if so, what difcovery is there in it ?

S. ` Do you not believe that his Spedlre is feen afflicting by the per' son afflicted ?

B. Supposing it ; yet it doth not hence follow that he is the Witch.

S, ` We muff grant that it is the Devil in the Spectre ; but it is by ` the Parties confent, and therefore it proves him Guilty.

B. I know you all plead so ; and tell us that the Devil cannot reprefent an Innocent person doing mifchief, but never proved it ; nor can we believe you.

ways to find out Witches beſides their own Confeſſion.

S. ‘ But I hope you will allow this, with ſome other weighty Circum-
‘ ſtance, to make up an Evidence againſt the Accuſed.

B. I think not: and my reaſon is, becauſe there muſt be at leaſt one Fact proved againſt the perſon, for which he ought to dy: and that is it which his Guilt is to be found upon; and it is enough: but if there be no one ſuch thing, all the Suſpicious Circumſtances will not make it up, becauſe the thing is not made certain by them. Beſides, wiſe men tell us, that never ſo many meer Preſumptions will not do it.

S. ‘ But ſuppoſe the perſon accuſed cannot prove himſelf in another
‘ place at the time, will not the Accuſation then ſuffice.

B. No, not in caſe of Witchcraft, when only the Afflicted accuſe, and By-ſtanders can neither ſee nor feel any thing: for that ſight is Spectral, and that is preternatural, and ſo not convictive; becauſe, as I before told you, witneſs to matter of Fact, muſt be of things that come under our Senſes, as they are Humane: this therefore proves nothing diſtinctly, or individually, but only disjunctively, *viz.* that it is either by Witchcraft, or more immediately from the Devil.

S. ‘ I hope you will allow this to be enough to commit perſons to
‘ Priſon upon ſuſpicion; which may be an introduction to bring in
‘ other things againſt them.

B. The Law provides that perſons committed for Capital Crimes, be without Bayl; and they muſt at leaſt paſs a Grand-jury ere they can have a delivery: and for that Reaſon, it alſo provides that no perſon ſhall be ſo Committed but for ſomthing which hath at leaſt the value of one clear Teſtimony to prove it ſuch a Crime: Beſides, the name, and Life of the perſon is hereby expoſed; and reaſon tells us, there muſt be ſomthing Teſtifiable againſt him for ſuch a thing: this is no light matter to have mens names for ever Stigmatized, their Families ruined, and their Lives hazarded.

S. ‘ But by this means have many been diſcovered, and other things
‘ brought in againſt them, which have detected them and they have
‘ ſuffered juſtly.

B. I have nothing to ſay on that account; I never ſaw their Trials. But I know, an error in the Firſt Concoction is not Rectified in the Second. Evil is not to be done that good may come of it. You cannot tell how many Innocent perſons may be by it ruined for this World. However, good events do not Juſtify unwarrantable actions.

S. ‘ Sure you will grant it to be enough to bring perſons upon Exami-
‘ nation.

B. Do

S, `Where then is the Rec-loral Holiness of God in Governing the `World ?

B. Where was it when God suffered A'c4hoths Life to be taken away, by falfe Witnesses? where was it when Solomon made that remarke in, F<iltl g, 14 ? It is not for such silly :Mortals as you and I to prefcribe to him who fits King for ever.

S. ` But how could the V Vorld stand if it might be so permitted ?

B. There are some things now and then Evene ; that if it were com~t rI mon, would subvert the World : and if Devils had their unrestrained Liberty, they would soon difcover it : and yet for God when he pleaseth to suffer such things, to Evene in a way of judgment, is confident with his Holiness, and is also Do(lrinal to us.

S. ` But by this notion good mens lives might be exposed and lost.

h'. Tlj,it is your fault who give suck :r credit to these thins. I believe, if it were your lot to be thus accufed, you would think it hard so to be so censured, or dealt by : you would either repent of your rashness, or turn Atheist.

S. `I never fear it; God will never permit such a thing.

B. That makes you to censorious : and others have so said, but now they are accufed, and eat their words.

S. ` Can you give an instance of any Godly Person so accufed ?

B. Iiistory supplies us with enough, and thofe not only Innocent, which were sufficient, but famous for extraordinary Piety.

S. ` Who knows but they were Hypocrites and Witches for all ?

B. True, and so are you for ought I certainly know : but the Rule of Charity- bids us to think well of them, till that appear which ought to remove this Charity.

S. ` We think so too, but suppose here is enough, presuming it necef` sart' that there muff be the content of the party.

B. Do the Afflicted perſons know perſonally all whom they cry out of?

S. 'No; ſome they never ſaw, it may be never heard of before.

B. And upon whoſe information will you ſend for the accuſed?

S. 'That of the Afflicted.

B. And who informed them?

S. 'The Spectre.

B. Very good, and that's the Devil, turned informer: how are good men like to fare, againſt whom he hath a Particular malice.

S. 'For the moſt part they are known by them, at the leaſt one or 'other of them.

B. It is but a Preſumption; and wiſe men will weigh Preſumptions againſt Preſumptions. There is to be no Examination without grounds of ſuſpicion. Some perſons Credit ought to be accounted too good to be undermined ſo far as to be ſuſpected on ſo ſlight a ground; and it is an injury done them to bring them upon Examination, which renders them openly ſuſpected. I will not deny but for perſons already ſuſpected, and of ill fame, it may occaſion their being Examined; but if nothing elſe comes in, nor a Confeſſion be made; the uſe of ſuch a Preſumption any further ceaſeth: but if other things of moment appear, a further Legal proceeding may be made.

S. 'That is enough for me; we never impriſoned any on a meer 'Spectral Evidence, or the bare accuſation of the Afflicted.

B. Nor Examined any Publickly, who were before of good reputation for Piety? Some think other wiſe; but what is that to me? I would fain know what the other thing is:

S. 'When they have been brought before the Afflicted, they have 'ſtruck them down with their Eyes, and raiſed them again with a touch 'of their hands.

B. You are very uncharitable to ſay they did it, becauſe there have been ſuch things in concomitancy.

S. 'The very poiſon of the Witches eyes hath knock't them down.

B. I ſee you are no Philoſopher: I am ſatisfied that there is Illuſion in this as well as the other; I pray do theſe effects follow meerly on their coming in their ſight, or by uſing of it as an Ordeal?

S. 'It was firſt diſcovered occaſionally, but ſince hath been uſed for 'an experiment, and is found never to fail.

B. The uſe of this as a Trial, is utterly unlawful, as will ere long be made to appear to the World: and beſides, the thing is not evidential, when it is done; but exceedingly fallacious: yea indeed, it is not any whit more a Preſumption than the former, if ſo much.

S. 'But

B. :end it is a presumption in you, and insufficient, till you can prove that the Devil cannot do it without their confent, which I believe you never will.

S. ` We do not yet fee that you have proved that he ever hath so `clone.

B. That's a wheedle : none knows anothers heart: let us produce the most eminent instances of that kind, and you reply, who knows but they were Hypocrites and Witches? But meanwhile you forget that it lies upon you to prove, that it cannot be ; elfe how can you fafely conclude the Evidence you would draw from it ? it is a principle you pra(Slife upon, and sure you should make it good : Betides, we have arguments to make it Rationally appear, that it may well be.

S. ` We are willing to hearken to Reason.

B. That's well : Do you really believe that all the persons accufed are Witches'' God forbid that I (hould be so uncharitable.

B. This is a plain contradiction : for if you believe the former conclusion, you muff either susped the Accufers of Falshood, which you will not bear, or of a delution, which you deny, or that all so Accufed are Guilty.

S. `We are indeed astonished at the thing; especially since the `number of the accufed is so formidably encreafed.

B. Nor is there a prospec-I of an end of thofe accusations in this way. But will you admit of a little reasoning in this cafe? I suppose you believe that the Devil can do this, and will too, should God permit him fo tar.

S. ` No doubt.

B. That God may permit him, conlistent with his Rectoral Holiness, is (I think) undeniable. He can make a scourge of it to punish a Backlliding People by : he can humble his own Children by it, and make it turn to their good in the end ; he hath clone things as unaccountable as this ; and who hath limited him in this only cafe.

S. ` But if the Afflicted Persons Testifie that they fee such an one ' afflicting them personally, mutt not this be Convic-live? shall he excufe ' himself by

S. 'But it never fails,

B. The more awful the Judgment of God, and the greater the Trial of our ſincerity, if, though the thing comes to paſs, yet we will not be drawn from our obedience to God.

S. 'We have old preſidents for the uſing of ſuch a courſe.

B. No preſident will Juſtify that which is ſinful, the older an error is, the worſe: beſides, it was borrowed from Popiſh Exorciſts originally.

S. 'Some tell us that there is a natural cauſe for it.

B. And you believe them! The effect is preternatural, and the thing unaccountable: and mens wild gueſſes in ſuch an affair, ought not to paſs for Maxims, where life is concerned. I know not how you ſtand affected; but I profeſs I ſhould be loth to dy upon a meer point of Philoſophy that is at moſt diſputable.

S. 'You are an admirable Advocate for the Witches.

B. This is not the firſt time. But if you thus Reflect, we had as good break off at preſent.

S. 'I would willingly before we part ask you what you think of the 'accuſation of the Confeſſors, who ſay they have ſeen ſuch in their 'Meetings, and diverſe Baptized by the Devil. I have heard that you 'make light of this too; whereas we thought it would have put all out 'of queſtion.

B. I have already told you my Judgment of the Confeſſors againſt themſelves: but there is enough (I think) to invalidate their evidence againſt others.

S. 'The Law provides that Accomplices confeſſing are valid againſt 'their Aſſociates.

B. There are diſputes about that, and I am not ſo good a Lawyer as to determine it: only I have to except in this Caſe.

S. 'I would gladly hear what you can ſay to it.

B. Firſt, the perſons confeſſing are Witches by their own confeſſion: and have therefore abjured God and Chriſt, and given themſelves up to the Devil, the Father of Lies; and what Credit is to be given to the Teſtimony of ſuch againſt the Lives of others?

S. 'But what if they have openly renounced that Covenant, and teſti-'fied repentance, why are they not now valid?

B. Leſs Crimes require a long probation of perſons repentance: and their bare ſay ſo, is but a poor evidence for them; nay though they add tears and ask forgiveneſs. Furthermore, ſome things ought to be a perpetual infamy to perſons, and for ever diſable them for giving Teſtimony in this World; to be ſure, till they are reſtored plentifully to the Charity of all good men.

S. 'This

saying the Devil did it in his likeness, without his confent ? ` may not men make this excufe in all other Crimes that are witnessed `against them? and where is the courfe of Justice then?

B. I have heard much such insignificant talk : Supposing the AffliC`led capable of giving a Testiirtony, we Hill say ; that if two Witnesses aver such a thin,, concerning a person, and he cannot prove himself to be in another place at the same time, he is legally convici=ed, and (if innocent yet) he mutt adore God's Sovereign Providence, and acquit the judges and Juries : But what do you say, when they do it whilst the ACCnfed is in prefence, and many Witnesses tnust say it is not he ? for he cannot be in two places at once.

.C. `That is the Witchcraft in the cafe, w-hich i5 hereby discovered ` for it is the Devil who doth all the mischief, only it is by their confent, ` otherwife they could not be supofed to be Witches.

B. But when it is so, it Rill remains to prove their confent, which theydeny ; and your argument is not valid to evince it ; it being a conclusion which you draw at leaf(from uncertain premifes, how then should it be certain ? where the Word of God requires that the thing be certain.

S. ` Witches then must not be known but by their personal Confef` Lion : and this is to prevent the finding out of such abominations.

B. Otherwife Innocent Persons may be condemned for Witches: and what a fearful thing is that ? and know it, that God never intended to bring to light all hidden works or workers of Darkness in this World ; nor will it be imputed as a Sin, that men did not punish fecret sins without clear discoveries : but if in a precipitant zeal, they should cut off any for ('rimes not proved, it will be imputed. Nevertheless, there are other 1 31 ways to find out Witches besides their own Confession.

S. ` But I hope you will allow this, with force other weighty Circum!! ir,ce, to make up an Evidence against the Accufed.

l~. I think not : and my reason is, becaufe there must be at least one F i, t proved against the person, for which he ought to dy : and that is it ~~ hich his Guilt is to be found upon ; and it is enough : but if there be no one such thing, all the Suspicious Circumstances will not make it up, becaufe the

S. 'This ſeems harſh *Doctrine*.

B. But I think it good *Doctrine*. Yet, Secondly, The things themſelves which they Teſtifie are liable to many Illuſions. The VVitches themſelves do not know when they go in Spectre, and when in Body; and how ſhould they then tell, whether the other be the perſon bodily, or only in Spectre? inſtances enough may be produced of ſuch as confidently averred they were carried away in Body, when many VVitneſſes knew the contrary.

S. 'I ſee the difference between us and you is very wide; and I fear 'the conſequence.

B. God is able to clear up theſe things, and let us herein agree to ſeek 'him for it, in the ways of his Appointment.

FINIS.

thing is not made certain by them. Besides, wife men tell us, that never so many meer Presumptions will not do it.
` But suppose the person accufed cannot prove himself in another ` place at the time, will not the Accusation then sufsice.

B. No, not in cafe of Witchcraft, when only the Afflicted accufe, and 13v-handers can neither fee nor feel anything : for that sight is Spectral, and that is preternatural, and so not convic-tive ; becaufe, as I before told)-on, witness to matter of Fact, must be of things that come under our Senfes, as they are Humane : this therefore proves nothing distinctly, or individually, but only disjunctively, viz. that it is either by Witchcraft, or more immediately from the Du%il.

S. `I hope you will allow this to be enough to commit persons to ` Prison upon suspicion ; which may be an introduction to bring in other ` things agalnst: them.

B. The Law provides that persons committed for Capital Crimes, be wi-thout Bayl ; and they muff at least; pass a Grand-jury ere they can have a delivery : and for that Reason, it also provides that no person shall be so Committed but for somthing which hath at least the value of one clear Testimony to prove it such a Crime : Besides, the name, and Life of the person is hereby expofed ; and reason tells us, there muff be somthing Testisiable against him for such a thin- : this is no light matter to have mens names for ever Stigmatized, their Families ruined, and their Lives hazarded.

S. ` But by this means have many been discovered, and other things ` brought in against them, which have detected them and they have suf`-fered justly.

B. I have nothing to say on that account ; I never saw their Trials. But I know, an error in the First Concoction is not Rectified in the Second. Evil is not to be done that good may come of it. You cannot tell how many Innocent persons may be by' it ruined for this World. However, good events do not justify unwarrantable a6lions.

.S. `Sure you will grant it to be enoujh to bring persons upon Ex` amina-tion.

B. Do the Afflicted persons know personally all whom they cry, out of?

S. `No ; sorrne they never saw, it may be never heard of before.

B. And upon whofe information will you fend for the accufed ?

S. `That of the Afflicted.

B. And who informed them ?

S. `The Spectre.

B. Very good, and that's the Devil, turned informer: how are good men like to fare, against whom he hath a Particular malice.

S. ` For the molt part they are known by them, at the least one or ` other of them.

B. It is but a Presumption ; and wife men will weigh Presumptions againil Presumptions. There is to be no Examination Without grounds of tiispicion. Some persons Credit ought to be accounted too good to be undermined so far as to be suspected on so flight a ground ; and it is an injury done them to bring them upon Examination, which renders them openly suspected. I will not deny but for persons already suspected, and ,of ill same, it may occasion their being Examined ; but if nothing elfe comes in, nor a Confession be made ; the Life of such a Presumption any further ceafeth : but if other things of moment appear, a further Legal proceeding may be made.

S. ` That is enough for me ; we never imprisoned any on a meer `Spectral Evidence, or the bare accusation of the Afflicted.

B. Nor Examined any Publickly, who were before of good reputation for Piety ? Some think other wife; but what is that to me? I would fain know what the other thing is

.S. `When they have been brought before the Afflicted, they have ` (buck them down with their Eyes, and raifed them again with a touch ` of their hands.

B. You are very uncharitable to say they did it, becaufe there have been such thins in concomitancy.

S. ` The very poison of the Witches eyes hath knock't them down.

B. I fee you are no Philosopher : I am satisfied that there is Illusion in this as well as the other ; I pray do these effects follow meerly on their coming in their tight, or by using of it as an Ordeal ?

S. ` It was first discovered occasionally, but fence hath been ufed for ' an experiment, and is found never to fail.

B. The Life of this as a Trial, is utterly unlawful, as will ere long be made to appear to the World : and besides, the thing is not evidential, when it is clone; but exceedingly fallacious : yea indeed, it is not any ~~hit more a Pretumption thin the former, if so much.

S. ` But it never fails,

B. The more awful the judgment of God, and the greater the Trial of our sincerity, if, though the thing comes to pass, yet we will not be drawn from our obedience to God.

S. ` We have old presidents for the using of such a courfe.

B. No president will justify that %%hich is sinful, the older an error the worfe : besides, it was borrowed from Popifli Fxorcists originally.

S. ` Some tell us that there is a natural caufe for it.

B. And you believe them 1 The effect is preternatural, and the thinunaccountable: and mens wild guesses in such an affair, ought not to pass for Maxims, where life is concerned. I know not how you stand affedled ; but I profess I should be loth to dy upon a meer point of Philofophy that is at molt disputable.

S. `You are an admirable Advocate for the Witches.

B. This is not the firf; time. But if you thus Reflect, we had as good break off at prefent.

S. ` I would willingly before we part afk you what you think of the ` accusation of the Confessors, who say they- have feen such in their :~Ieet`ings, and diverfe Baptized by the Devil. I have heard that you make ` light of this too ; whereas we thought it would have put all out of ` question.

B. I have already told you my judgment of the Confessors against themfelves : but there is enough (I think) to invalidate their evidence against others.

S. ` The Law provides that Accomplices eonfesstng are valid against ` their Associates.

B. There are disputes about that, and I am not so good a Lawyer as to determine it: only I have to except in this Cafe.

S. ` I would gladly hear what you can say to it.

B. First, the persons confessing are Witches by their own confession and have therefore abjured God and ChriR, and given thernfelves up to the Devil, the Father of Lies ; and what Credit is to be given to the Testimony of such against the Lives of others

S, ` But what if they have openly renounced that Covenant, and tef` tisied repentance, why are they not now valid ?

B. Less Crimes require a long probation of persons repentance : and their bare say so, is but a poor evidence for them ; nay though they flied tears and afk forgiveness. Furthermore, some things ought to be a perpetual infamy to persons, and forever disable them for giving Testimony in this World ; to be sure, till they are rellored plentifully in the Charity of all good men.

S. `This seems harsh Doctrine.

B. But I think it good Doctrine. Yet, Secondlv, The things themfelves which they Testifre are liable to many Illusions. The Witches themfelves do not know when they go in Spectre, and when in Body, and how should they then tell, whether the other be the person bodily or onlvï in Spectre? instances enough may be produced of such as confidently averred they were carried away in Body, when many Witnesses knew the contrary.

S. ` I fee the difference between us and you is vey wide; and I fear ` the confequence.

B. God is able to clear up these things, and let us herein agree to feek him for it, in the ways of his Appointment.

MANO IZQUIERDA EDITORES